



Women Poets Iranica
A Research Compendium

Laylā Mahbūbī: A Feminist Pastoral

Maziar Chabok*

PhD candidate

November 19, 2025

<https://poets.iranicaonline.org/scholar/maziar-chabok/>

*Maziar (Seyed Hossein, originally) Chabok is a PhD candidate at the Centre of Excellence for International Business at Kedge Business School in Marseille. He received a B.A. from the University of Mazandaran and holds a master's degree in International Marketing from the Institute for Management and Planning Studies (IMPS), Tehran. His research interests are focused on International Business, Dual Embeddedness, Absorptive Capacity, Headquarters-subsidiary relationship, and organizational theories (such as Network Theory, Attention-Based View, and Resource-Based theory).

To Vahīd Amīnīzādah Yazdī and their Zhīvār

Introduction

Pastoral poetry emerged from the contradiction between the urban and the rural, fueled by the duality of culture and nature, complexity and simplicity, the real and the ideal, repulsion and attraction.¹ Pastoralism played a vital role in countering urbanization,² and this fundamental aspect of the pastoral extended beyond neutral, nature-describing poems about flowers, shepherds, and waterfalls. In this way, pastoral poetry addresses issues raised by urban development by either constructing a new, imagined place or nostalgically encouraging to retreat to the old one.

Laylā Mahbūbī, a contemporary Persian poet, brings new perspectives to pastoral poetry—not by creating a utopia, as is common in classical pastoral poetry, nor by a regretful sanctification of the past. Drawing on original experiences and feminist concepts shaped by her family's migration from Azerbaijan to Iran, Mahbūbī has expanded the scope of pastoral poetry in Iran. She stands out due to her unique interpretations of land, women, and nature as feminist pastoral themes. Her poetry offers an unprecedented reflection on the historical condition of a woman's body—one that has lost its land and is continually harmed by natural forces. The fate of humanism, in her work, can neither progress nor retreat. This contradiction intensifies

when women, depicted as the defeated protagonists of her poems, recall their identities destroyed by modern developments such as technology and war.

This study seeks to uncover three themes in Laylā Mahbūbī’s works as a feminist pastoral poet in two poetry collections published in Iran: *Bih zakhm’hā-yam surmah mī’kishad zanī* (A woman is tinging my wounds with kohl)³ and *Bī huzūr-i ghubār-i dast* (In the absence of the hand’s dust).⁴ Before delving into the depth of Laylā Mahbūbī’s poetry, I also trace the trajectory of pastoral poetry in the West and Iran to establish Mahbūbī’s position and highlight her contributions to this genre.



Figure 1
 Left: Cover of Laylā Mahbūbī’s book, *Bī huzūr-i ghubār-i dast*.
 Right: Cover of Laylā Mahbūbī’s book, *Bih zakhm’hā-yam surmah mī’kishad zanī*.

A Glimpse into the Concept of Pastoral Poetry

To explore the roots of pastoral poetry, we must trace them back to the Greek poet Theocritus of Syracuse (ca. 300–260 BCE), who, in the Hellenistic era, ignited the first sparks of bucolic poetry through his *Idylls* (set in Sicily in contrast to Alexandria of Ptolemy II Philadelphus).⁵ This type of nature-describing poem gives the enthusiastic audience a sense of idealization, nostalgia, and escapism.⁶ The homely atmosphere of the village

¹Sarah Phillips Casteel, “New World Pastoral,” *Interventions* 5 no.1 (2003): 12–28.

²David M Halperin, *Before Pastoral: Theocritus and the Ancient Tradition of Bucolic Poetry* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1983), 56.

³Laylā Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm’hā-yam surmah mī’kishad zanī* (Tehran: Dāstān, 1396/2017), 5.

⁴Laylā Mahbūbī, *Bī huzūr-i ghubār-i dast* (Tehran: Dāstān, 1397/2018).

⁵Donna L. Potts, *Contemporary Irish Poetry and the Pastoral Tradition* (Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 2011), 1.

⁶Casteel, “New World Pastoral,” 13.

⁷Greg Garrard, *Ecocriticism*, 3rd ed. (New York: Routledge, 2023), 56.

⁸Paul Alpers, *What Is Pastoral?* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997), 185.

⁹Friedrich Schiller and Julius A. Elias, *Naive and Sentimental Poetry, and, On the Sublime: Two Essays* (New York: F. Ungar, 1966), 24.

¹⁰“The Education of Humanity, the Development of All Human Powers into a Whole” in Frederick C. Beiser, *The Romantic Imperative: The Concept of Early German Romanticism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2003), 22.

¹¹Halperin, *Before Pastoral*, 56.

¹²For a philosophical analysis of why the Romantics, particularly Friedrich Schlegel, were drawn to pastoral poetry and regarded it as embodying modern elements and sentimental themes, see Beiser, *The Romantic Imperative*, 117.

is imbued with a sense of sanctity, where all its inhabitants are free from sin. It is an ideal place, a refuge against disruptive forces for those seeking restoration.⁷

The distinguishing characteristic of pastoral poetry, when it was introduced into the Latin world by Virgil (70–19 BCE) through his *Eclogues*, is its direct connection to the shepherd’s lifestyle as a literary convention. From a Virgilian perspective, the conventions of pastoral poetry can be encapsulated in the formula: “The poet represents (himself as) a shepherd or shepherds.”⁸ Therefore, following Virgil’s model leads us to “represent” the behavior of nature’s inhabitants.

The Romantics also demonstrated a strong inclination toward the pastoral tradition and called poets the “guardians of nature.”⁹ In their encounter with the Industrial Revolution, the Romantics sought a poetry that could simplify *Bildung*¹⁰ and beautify the world. They revived Theocritus—the creator of bucolic poetry that expresses rural folk culture—from the pages of history.¹¹ Thus, what was once dedicated exclusively to rural and shepherd life in classical pastoral poetry was fundamentally transformed into an exploration of nature and human nature.¹²

All in all, the pastoral, as originally defined by the fathers of the genre (Theocritus and Virgil), is an imitation of rural life (including caring for the animals, singing or playing musical instruments, and making love), which remains abundant within the scope of its thematic content. Classical pastoralism, which persisted until the eighteenth century, was intended to vividly evoke a sense of nostalgia and primarily sought to express the absence or loss of nature.

Pastoralism in Modern Persian Poetry

With the arrival of modernity in Iran, Iranian poets began to reject the notion of progress in a romanticized manner. In contrast to the long-standing tradition of Persian classical poetry, which



often criticized and vilified the rural village,¹³ Nīmā Yūshīj (1276–1338/1895–1960) celebrated rural life and its people, viewing the city as a place of corruption, imitation, and deceit. In his famous poem “Khānah-i Sirivīlī” (The house of Sirivili), which he regarded as his finest work, he equated the city and its inhabitants with Satan and his followers. The introduction of the poem states: “The story is a battle between Sirivīlī and the devil, and Satan’s subject”:¹⁴

I am one of the dignified folks, a neighbor too,
On the slopes of the pure mountains, not far
from here,
Did our cows not once stand side by side in one
place,
(Tended by) one shepherd?
Is there not a reed-player (to play) for our
flocks of soft-fleeced sheep,
As they graze together in the silence of night?
...
In the city of the blind, my vision aches with
pain.
I, too, have no wish to sound the horn in vain.
...
In our mountains, there is a bird
Singing from atop the quiet, lonely stones.
It knows no language but its own.
Indeed, it is true: the mountains and life of the
countryside
Are full of charm and beauty.

من یکی از آبرومندان و از همسایگان هستم
در نشیب کوه‌های باصفا نه دور پر ز اینجا،
گاوهای ما مگر با هم ناستانند در یک جا،
و یکی چوپان
نیست نیزن از برای گله‌های گوسفندان زل ما
در سکوت شب چو می‌چرند با هم؟
...
در درون شهر کوران دردها دارم ز بینایی
همچنین هرگز نخواهم در میان بوق بیهوده دمیدن
...
در کهستان‌های ما مرغی است
که به روی صخره‌های خلوت و خامش می‌خواند
او زبانی جز زبان خود نمی‌داند
راست می‌باشد که کوه و زندگانی در دستان
دلکش و زیباییست

This is one of the major modern encounters between Persian poetry and pastoralism, in which Yūshīj turns the language into a pastoral concept.¹⁵ The central issue in this poetry is the “own language” of rural people—that is, the figure escapes the multiplicity found in the language of city dwellers. Yūshīj’s pastoral even goes beyond constructing a distant, subjective utopia; it rejects such a retreat precisely because of the unnecessary blowing of horns. Nature becomes “charming and beautiful” through the unity symbolized by the bird’s language.

At a time when Nīmā was romantically escaping urban culture and fleeing toward rural and pastoral nature, Persian poetry enriched its experience by sanctifying nature. Yūshīj’s anti-urbanism paved the way for many poets to follow.

¹³For a comprehensive analysis of the perspectives of major classical Persian poets regarding the dichotomy between the village and the city, refer to Suhrāb Yazdānī and Muhammad Rafī‘ī’s article titled “Du’gānigī-i rūstā-shahr va sultah-‘i guftimān-i shahrī; Bā ta’kīd bar shi’r-i kilāsik-i Fārsī” (“The village-city dichotomy and the hegemony of urban discourse; With emphasizing on classical Persian poetry”), *Pazhūhish’hā-yi ‘ulūm-i tārikhī* 10, no. 1 (Spring and Summer 1397/2018): 117–36.

¹⁴All translations of the poems presented in this study are done by the author.

¹⁵Nīmā Yūshīj, *Majmū’ah-‘i kāmīl-i ash’ār* [The complete collection of poems], ed. Sīrūs Tāhbāz (11th repr. ed., Tehran: Nigāh, 1390/2011), 243.

¹⁶Manūchihr Ātashī, *Majmū'ah-'i ash'ār* [The collection of poems] (3rd repr. ed., Tehran: Nigāh, 1394/2015), 1:311.

¹⁷Ātashī, *Majmū'ah-'i ash'ār*, 1:740.

¹⁸Ātashī, *Majmū'ah-'i ash'ār*, 1:740–41.

After Yūshīj, three major poets—Manūchihr Ātashī (1310–1384/1931–2005), Hūshang Chālangī (1320–1400/1941–2021), and Suhrāb Sipihīrī (1307–1359/1928–1980)—made significant contributions to Persian pastoral poetry. Manūchihr Ātashī, as a follower of Nīmā Yūshīj's blank verse, is known for evoking the nature of southern Iran (Dashtistān) in a nomadic, gypsy-like tone:¹⁶

I am a gypsy
Lost in all deserts
And lover of all deserts

من یک کولی هستم
و عاشق تمام بیابان‌ها
گمشده در تمام بیابان‌ها

In his pastoral poetry, he searches for childhood nostalgia:¹⁷

Your fields and lights
Arch and portico of the school
And the smoky cells of philosophy
Your own cheapness
Give me back my childhood dream.

میدان‌ها و چراغانی‌تان
طاق و رواق مدرسه
و حجره‌های پر از دود فلسفه
ارزانی‌تان
به من خیال کودکم را برگردانید.

He is someone who flees the city so much so that even the apples of the city gardens taste bitter. His poem “*Bih samat-i sāyah'hā-yi banafsh*” (Towards purple shades) captures such a condition with precision:¹⁸



I want neither your organ nor your harmonium,
 Nor your tar, nor your tanbur.
 Once more, a small green flute
 Cut from two stalks of wheat is enough for me
 That I may climb the hill of Talkhānī.
 And there, for my deep estrangement,
 Play the reed so long that birds come nest
 In my burnt hair.
 ...
 How bitter this apple is!

نه آرگ و ارغنونتان را می‌خواهم
 نه تارتان و نه تنبورتان
 مرآ، دوباره نی‌ایکی سبز از دو ساقه گندم بس
 تا بر فراز تپه‌ی «تَلخانی» بنشینم
 و غربت عمیقم را
 آن‌قدر نی‌ایک بزنم تا پرندوها
 در گیسوان سوختمام آشیانه گذارند
 ...
 چه تلخ است این سیب!

¹⁹The Bakhtiari tribe is divided into two main branches: Haftlang (Seven four-legged) and Chāhār-lang (Four four-legged). The division originated from the tribe's traditional taxation system.

²⁰Hūshang Chālangī, *Majmū'ah-i kāmīl-i ash'ār* [The complete collection of poems] (Tehran: Afrāz, 1397/2018), 253.

The pastoral poetry of Ātashī seeks to rediscover his lost identity by returning to his homeland and playing music with local, traditional instruments like the small flute (naylabak).

Hūshang Chālangī was from the Chāhār Lang (literally, “four shares”) branch of the Bakhtiyārī (also spelled Bakhtiari) tribe¹⁹ and was the last pastoral poet in Iran who was also a real shepherd, like Yūshīj and Ātashī. He had a considerable impact on major Persian poetry movements, including *Mawj-i nāb* (Pure wave) and *Shi'r-i dīgar* (Other poetry). His description of unspoiled nature, meadows, grazing sheep, and shepherds' fear of wolf attacks, give his poetry a primitive character. He successfully combined modern lyric poetry with a raw pastoral atmosphere. In addition, the “I” in Chālangī's poetry becomes the voice of his ethnic group, bearing witness to its fate. What follows is an excerpt from his poem “Mīrās” (Legacy).²⁰

²¹Suhrāb Sipihrī, *Hasht kitāb* [Eight books] (Tehran: Murvārīd, 1389/2010), 272.

²²Here, “orient” thinking lies versus “occident” ones. This word highlights spirituality, mysticism, divinity, wisdom, and omnipresence of God in all objects and nature. To know more, see Suhrāb Sipihrī. *The Expanse of Green: Poems of Sohrab Sepehry*, trans. David L. Martin (United States, pub. by author, 1988).

²³Sipihrī, *Hasht kitāb*, 372.

...Stars are plundered
From the rooster's throat
Until I ask you!
Now, O wanderer!
What hour of the night are we in?
It is a dense forest that lulls my eyelids to sleep
On a horse's mane
And a star vanishes
To unveil the dawn to me.

A legacy of weeping, ah
In my tribe
Was chest to chest.

...ستاره‌ها از حلقوم خروس
تراج می‌شوند
تا من از تو بپرسم!
اکنون، ای سرگردان!
در کدام ساعت از شبیم؟
انبوهی جنگل است که پلک مرا
بر بال اسب می‌خواباند
و ستاره‌ای غیبت می‌کند
تا سپیددمان را به من باز نماید.

میراث گریه، آه
در قوم من
سینه به سینه بود.

The primitive pastoral of Chālangī reflects both the solitude and wandering of ethnic groups, even as they retreat to their homeland. In this context, the power of nature no longer guarantees the happiness of the tribe.

Suhrāb Sipihrī occupies a different position. He perceived God within nature. In other words, he envisioned a kind of existential unity:²¹

And the God who is near
Among these night-scented stocks, at the foot
of that tall pine

و خدایی که در این نزدیکی است
لای این شنبوها، پای آن کاج بلند

His approach to nature is one of becoming unified with it. He binds his existence to nature and channels all divine and metaphysical moments through it. Thus, Sipihrī's pastoral is imbued with mysticism and meditative qualities. This invites a mention of a distinct form of “Oriental”²² pastoral:²³

Sipihrī even condemns the use of shoes for severing the connection between the feet and the earth:



Barefooted state was a blessing that was lost. Shoes are remnants of Adam's effort to deny the fall of man, an allegory for the sorrow of separation from paradise. There is something satanic in the shoe. It disrupts the healthy conversation between the earth and the feet.²⁴

²⁴Sipihri, Hasht kitāb, 37.

²⁵Sipihri, Hasht kitāb, 354.

²⁶Sipihri, Hasht kitāb, 368.

²⁷Sipihri, Hasht kitāb, 288.

²⁸Sipihri, Hasht kitāb, 424.

Attending to the essence of nature in mystic-pastoral poetry—which, in reverence for the natural world, treats it as a repository of human secrets—positions Sipihri, whether consciously or not, as a pioneer of eco-pastoral poetry in Iran. His harmonious relationship with nature and his commitment to preserving the earth's laws and respecting nature are especially noteworthy. As he writes, "I should remember not to do anything against the law of the earth."²⁵ His environmental sensitivity, particularly toward water, is clearly expressed in lines such as: "An empty can wound Joey's throat" or "Don't muddy the water, as if a pigeon were drinking downstream." He even situates his pastoral utopia beyond the seas, envisioning:²⁶

I will build a boat
I will throw it into the water...
There is a city behind the seas.

قایق خواهم ساخت،
خواهم انداخت به آب...
پشت دریاها شهری است.

According to Sipihri aesthetic, human beings should be fully immersed in nature, where there is no anger and hatred:²⁷

I have not seen two fir trees as foes,
Nor a willow barter its shade with the earth.

من ندیدم دو صنوبر را با هم دشمن،
من ندیدم بیدی سالیانش را بفروشد به زمین.

In addition, for Sipihri, nature is also a rich source of knowledge for humankind.²⁸

²⁹See Sipihri, *The Expanse of Green*.

In the days when knowledge dwelled by the
water's edge,

روزی که دانش لب آب زندگی می‌کرد،

Humanity found joy in the quiet ease of
meadows steeped in azure thought.

انسان در تنهایی لطیف یک مرتع با فلسفه‌های لاجوردی
خوش بود.

Taken together, the central role of nature as a source of inspiration, knowledge, wisdom, and a model for authentic life, which is often whispered in Sipihri's poetry, should be understood in relation to his interest in mysticism.²⁹

Surprisingly, among Persian women poets, particularly after Yūshij, few are recognized for engaging directly with pastoral themes. One underlying reason is that most of them followed the stylistic and thematic path of Furūgh Farrukh'zād (1313–1345/1934–1967), who was primarily a poet of the city. While their poetry includes natural imagery, it does not frame nature as a pastoral concept. However, one notable exception is Laylā Mahbūbī, who stands out for her emphasis on nature, land, and femininity within a pastoral framework. The heroine of Mahbūbī's poem is a landless woman who is continually alienated by nature, which is a rare experience to find articulated in Persian women's poetry. The following section reflects on key aspects of Mahbūbī's life and background. It is followed by three sections analyzing the three themes—nature, land, and femininity—as developed in her two published poetry collections.

Laylā Mahbūbī's Life and Background

Laylā Mahbūbī was born on Shahrivar 1, 1348/ August 23, 1969, in Sari, Mazandaran province. Her grandmother was from Baku, Azerbaijan; she married an Azeri businessman and migrated to Iran. Due to the closing of the border, she was never able to return to her homeland. Mahbūbī's mother spent her childhood and adolescence in a village in the Mughan plain. After a severe drought, the family relocated to Rasht and Mazandaran. Despite



the moves, Mahbūbī's mother always had a deep connection to her homeland, expressing a desire to have soil from her native land sprinkled on her face after death. Mahbūbī attended school through the assistance of a relative, as education for women was uncommon in most nomadic families. She has since earned a master's degree in history and currently works as a teacher.³⁰



Figure 2: Laylā Mahbūbī. Photo by Vahid Aminizadeh Yazdi

Mahbūbī remains continually drawn to the sense and idea of a motherland that she inherited from her mother's stories. She regards *kūch* (migration) as a part of her family's historical lineage. In the introduction to her book *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad, zanī*, she reflects:

I came to understand the concept of land when, upon her death, my mother brought a handful of soil from her hometown, carried from this side of the Aras [River] to cover her face... I was searching for a lullaby in my mother's *Ukshāmā*.³¹

Consequently, Mahbūbī sees poetry as a fitting medium for exploring and reclaiming her motherland. However, she remains hesitant to fully trust words as authentic evidence of her origins. Similarly, in the introduction to her poetry collection *Bī huzūr-i ghubār-i dast*, she writes: "Where am I to find my home? Words slip away. You didn't betray me with the sacred word, did you?"³²

³⁰Extracted from an in-person interview with Laylā Mahbūbī on 31/4/2024.

³¹*Ukshāmā* is a traditional Turkish lament song characterized by its intense, burning emotion, expressing the deep sorrow and grief associated with the loss of loved ones. Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī*, 5.

³²Mahbūbī, *Bī huzūr-i ghubār-i dast*, 5.

³³Judith Butler, "Performative Acts and Gender Constitution: An Essay in Phenomenology and Feminist Theory," *Theatre Journal* 40, no. 4 (1988): 522.

³⁴The land not only is the place of our living but also that of our being. To know more about the relationship between place and being, see Edward S. Casey, *Getting Back into Place: Toward A Renewed Understanding of the Place-World* (Bloomington, IN, Indiana University Press, 1993).

³⁵Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī*, 19.

A close reading of Mahbūbī's poetry reveals three intertwined feminist pastoral themes: land, nature, and femininity. These themes are articulated from a feminist perspective. As Judith Butler explains, "when Beauvoir claims that 'woman' is a historical idea and not a natural fact, she clearly underscores the distinction between sex, as biological facticity, and gender, as the cultural interpretation or signification of that facticity."³³ Mahbūbī's poems similarly highlight the historical conditions of women who often suffer most from the consequences of war and displacement. Her poems demonstrate how the biological sex of a woman (i.e., body) is shaped and conditioned by gender as a socially and culturally constructed category. For example, the central figure of her poem are victimized women who uprooted by their land and indeed did not absorb by nature. They even do not play a mother-land role based on stereotype of women. The main reason may be that these desperate women are exposed to the trauma of rape under pressure of the men hegemony. These following themes will excavate such claims based on Mahbūbī's verses.

The Theme of Land

Loss and separation from the land are recurring themes in Mahbūbī's poetry. Land functions as a core element of individual identity, anchoring the self in memories that connect one to the past. When individuals become estranged from the past, their sense of identity is similarly threatened.³⁴ Mahbūbī uses poetic language to articulate the sorrow of displacement, demonstrating how the memory of land can unsettle the emotional and psychological well-being of women, figures who, in her work, serve as agents of humanity. For example, the poem "Īstgāh" (Station) portrays a woman uprooted from her homeland. This sense of alienation is mediated through the image of a train—invoked in a manner reminiscent of Romantic poetry—as a symbol of modern estrangement:³⁵



They wave	دست تکان می دهند
The trains of the world, the shadows stand still	قطارهای جهان، سایه‌ها ایستاده‌اند
Amid yesterday	میان دیروز
The woman does not step off	بیاده نمی‌شود زن
At any station	در هیچ ایستگاهی
She has left behind her land	سرزمینش را جا گذاشته است
A hand that has forgotten	دستی که تکان
How to wave	از یادش رفته است

Emigration entails a sense of uprootedness. The “roots” in this context are history, culture, background, traditions, and the formative experiences that shape our present identity. Just as it is impossible for a person to entirely erase memory, the central figure of a poem by Mahbūbī, despite being separated from a fixed, immovable homeland, continues to bear the weight of that land on her shoulders. This enduring connection to place is also poignantly expressed in the poem “Border”:³⁶

Spring winds uproot me	بادهای چشسه، از جا می‌کنند
Ancient trees, a thousand years old	درختان هزارساله
Heavy with memories.	با خاطر‌هایی
The dream of my wet wings,	خیال بال‌های خیس من
And roots trailing from my shoulders.	و ریشه‌هایی که از شانه‌هایم آویزانند؛
A woman's lullaby for you	لالایی زن برای تو
Lulls me to sleep here.	اینجا مرا به خواب می‌سپارد
But	اما
With head upon stone,	سر به سنگ
Bare in the water,	برهنه میان آب
Roots torn away,	ریشه‌ها جدا می‌شوند
I drift with the stream.	من با آب جاری می‌شوم.

Since the border severs the connection to the past, no new land can truly replace the motherland. Although roots are carried upon the shoulders, they remain incapable of taking hold in foreign soil, because not every lullaby is the voice of a mother, soothing into peaceful sleep. A lullaby in a strange land may offer a temporary comfort, but it never heralds a genuine re-awakening.

The “woman” in Mahbūbī's poem, as she distances herself from

³⁷Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 17.

³⁸Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 52.

³⁹Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 34.

⁴⁰Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 58.

her homeland and enters the urban environment, endures negative and painful experiences. The poem “Kūchah-'i bī-nām” (A nameless alley) poignantly reflects this theme:³⁷

Now in the heart of a nameless alley—

Here—

Oaks tread upon my hands,

A woman's feathers flutter atop the city's roofs.

A woman dances,

Casting a shadow across the sun,

Rubbing a wound upon her chest.

اکنون میان کوچهای بی‌نام

در اینجا

بلوطهایی، روی دستاتم راه می‌روند

پرهای زنی به بامهای شهر می‌خورد؛

زن می‌رقصد

سایه بر آفتاب می‌گستراند

با زخمی که بر سینه می‌مالد.

Thus, the “woman” is wounded in the city's nameless alley. She is lost and confused and has not regained her identity. This wound even penetrates her clothing. For example, wearing a skirt is a cherished characteristic of nomadic women in Iran. In Mahbūbī's poetry, objects and elements of nature are not inert and this sense of estrangement and wounded identity is vividly conveyed through her evocative imagery, as seen in the following lines from “Nā'shinākhtah” (Unknown)³⁸ and “Jā'māndah'hā” (The ones left behind)³⁹ poem:

Brick by brick, they wander—

The blossoms of your skirt adrift.

خشت به خشت سرگردانیست —

گل‌های دامنست.

The fire stings the eyes of the plain

A women's kisses—

Scattered, left between the hills.

آتش به چشم دشت می‌زند

بوسه‌های زنی —

که میان تپه‌ها جاساندندست.

Separation from the land in Mahbūbī's poetry is not solely attributed to the urbanization or technological change; nature and its elements also intensify this division. In her poem, “Shallāq-i marz bar rūd navākhtah mī'shavad” (The border whip is played on the river),⁴⁰ soldiers are not depicted as physical beings but,



becoming invisible to the human eye, they allegorically attack the land itself:⁴¹

Soldiers

Stomp between my eyes,

Dragging their chains as they pass.

They gallop into my land—

Where my childhood once ran through the hills,

Where red apples danced

In rivers falling from the sky.

سربازان

میان چشم‌هایم پا می‌کوبند،

از من می‌گذرند با زنجیر هایشان.

به سرزمینم می‌تازند—

با کودکی‌ام که در تپه‌هایش دویده است،

و رقص سیب‌های سرخ

در رودهایی از آسمان.

In sum, while Mahbūbī's personal experience of migration may reflect the specific trauma of women uprooted from their homeland, her poetry also speaks to a broader, universal condition—the dislocation of humanity from its origins. Her verses capture the tragic fate of those who, believing themselves to be emancipated, find themselves instead consumed by another kind of fire: one born not of liberation, but loss and estrangement.

The Theme of Nature

Traditional pastoral poetry typically centers on the retreat or return to nature, wherein nature becomes, often unconsciously, a sacred refuge or healer for people alienated by modern, urban life. From its early origins to the Romantic revival, nature has consistently been praised and idealized. Romantic poets believed ancient poets imitated nature directly through an immediate unity with it, whereas modern poets idealize nature due to a lost connection. Similarly, Persian pastoral traditions portrayed nature as a nostalgic point of reference.⁴² However, Laylā Mahbūbī diverges sharply from both Western and Iranian pastoral conventions, portraying nature as an ambivalent, even negative, force in her poetry. In Mahbūbī's poems, natural elements, including wind, water, rain, spring, trees, and sea, do not merely provide setting; they obscure human identity and amplify suffering. An illustration of this appears in the following

⁴¹Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zani*, 16.

⁴²For theory of “imitation” versus “idealization” of nature among Classical versus Romantic Poets such as Wordsworth and Coleridge see M.H. Abrams, *The Mirror and the Lamp: Romantic Theory and the Critical Tradition* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1953.)

⁴³Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 49.

⁴⁴Mahbūbī, Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī, 66-67.

lines of “Daryā'navardān” (Sailors) poetry: ⁴³

Sailors...	دریاوردان...
Mourn with bitter tongues...	تلخ مرثیه می خوانند...
Their sails that have forgotten the river's path...	با بادبان‌هایی که رود را از یاد برده‌اند...
And someone is seized by the wind.	کسی اسیر باد شده است.

Here, nature, specifically the wind, emerges not as a source of comfort or renewal but as a disruptive and disorienting force. It strips individuals of direction, memory, and belonging.

Mahbūbī's poem “Dūr'dast-i 'uryān” (Naked in the distant horizon) marks the pinnacle of her exploration of nature's darker dimensions. Here, the traditional symbols of peace and tranquility, such as the sea and the sky, are depicted in shades of gray, signaling a profound inversion that reveals nature's underlying negativity and despair within her poetic vision:⁴⁴

The white-clad brides Walk upon the sea, Naked in the distant horizon. The scent of wild lilies confounds The men resting in the ocean's depths.	عروسانی سفیدپوش روی دریا راه می‌روند در دوردستی عریان عطر زنبق‌های دشت مردان آرامیده در اعماق اقیانوس را گیج می‌کند
Grays converge in the distance Sea and sky, both gray. All the days that pass, And all the suns that are consumed, Men lie asleep A woman's hands sing a lament upon the forehead of the sea. Ashes sway in her arms. The sound of running water spirals Into infinity. With open eyes, A woman descends into the depths. The woman whose white dress the sea has stolen.	خاکستری‌ها در نوردست بهم می‌رسند دریا، خاکستری آسمان، خاکستری به تمام روزهایی که می‌گذرد و تمام خورشیدهایی که نوشیده می‌شود مردان خفته‌اند دست‌های زنی مرثیه می‌خواند بر پیشانی دریا خاکستر به آغوش تاب می‌خورد می‌پیچد صدای دودن آب در بی‌نهایت با چشمانی باز به اعماق می‌رود زنی که دریا لباس سفیدش را ربوده است.

Here, nature assumes a malevolent mediating role, even defiling a woman's skirt. Consider how the gray sea seeks to steal her white, pristine skirt, a gesture that may symbolize the loss of a woman's sacred innocence. While men are depicted as



“resting” or “asleep,” women become victim of nature’s unpredictable cruelty. The sea also seizes her bloodstained dress and skirts, its twisting motion ending in infinite disappearance, an abyss so deep that it consumes the sun like a cosmic void.

⁴⁵Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī*, 75.

⁴⁶Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī*, 68-69.

Indeed, in Mahbūbī’s poetry, nature is ruthless, and one cannot expect salvation from it. She cautions in “Āghūsh-i āsimān” (The sky’s embrace) poem: ⁴⁵

Don't cry out—	فریاد نزن -
The rain	باران
Cannot hear your voice.	صدایت را نمی‌شنود.

Here, nature is not restorative; it is a source of wounds and discomfort, beyond the reach of divine intervention or faith. In the poem “Tavāf-i bād” (Circumambulation of the wind), even the temple, a sacred space of worship connecting earth and sky, is rendered powerless. It stands impassive before the wind that seized the woman’s life and danced around her, an image where the sacred is overwhelmed by nature’s blind force:⁴⁶

Women burn frankincense, Shadows bear the soul of the wind, A wound born of intuition, A heart scarred by dark sores. They raise a temple	زنان گنبد می‌سوزانند سایه‌ها با جانی از باد زخمی از شهود و دلی دچار سیمزخم معبد می‌رویند
With bruised and bitter lips. Little girls Entrust their precocious intuition to the woman's lifeless form. Circumambulation of the wind Twists a woman draped in white, Their lives carried off by the wind Shadows wait A woman's soul disperses into fragments on the wind, Amid burning frankincense The Temple Stands still Staring at the circumambulation of the wind. ⁴⁶	با لبانی کبود و تلخ دخترکان شهود زودرسشان را به لاشمی زن می‌سپارند طواف باد با پیراهنی سفید می‌پیچد به زن با باد می‌رود جانشان سایه‌ها منتظر جان زن میان باد پارچاره می‌رود با گندری که می‌سوزد معبد ایستاده خیره به طوافی که می‌رود.

Women are subject to the forces of nature, while sacred symbols such as temples continue to burn frankincense in their consecrated space, seemingly satisfied with the act of circumambulation.

⁴⁷Halperin, *Before Pastoral*, 64.

What wind can twist the lips of girls into bruised bitterness? Why does poetry not offer the women who are victims of nature to the care of metaphysical forces, so that through divine intervention evil might be overcome? The apathy and neutrality of sacred forces in the face of evil is hardly unprecedented. Indeed, the theological response to Auschwitz resulted in a comparable outcome: there, minorities, including those deemed divinely chosen, became victims of humans who claimed racial superiority. In Mahbūbī's work, womanhood itself is cast as an original sin. A woman is objectified as a sex slave. The bruised, bitter lips of young girls are born of their "precocious intuition," akin to precocious puberty in boys, an early self-awareness of being female, of being objectified. This fledgling consciousness is entrusted to a woman who has already perished, her body a corpse sustained only by destruction.

In the poem, the destinies of women's and girls' bodies are subtly depicted as ordained by divine will, enacted through the very forces of nature. Nature thus becomes an instrument of the divine, and women its unwitting victims. In this vision, suffering becomes fated, and rebellion against either nature or metaphysical inevitability is rendered impossible for the women in Mahbūbī's poetry.

The Theme of Femininity

Historically, women have been largely absent from pastoral poetry, often depicted as passive objects like sexual archetype.⁴⁷ Mahbūbī's pastoral poems place the women at the forefront, a significant achievement in Persian poetry. By reclaiming femininity and presenting women's perspectives on nature, she challenges traditional narratives. However, the women in her poems are not portrayed as triumphant; rather, they are depicted as distrusted, marginalized, and wounded. In these works, "woman" is scarred by both nature and the land she inhabits, having suffered the devastating consequences of rape and bloody wars. Upon closer examination, it becomes evident that this woman's



destruction is not solely the result of external conflicts; she is consumed from within, falling victim to her own womanhood. This theme is poignantly explored in the poem “Gūr-i gharīb-i mādar” (Mother’s strange grave).⁴⁸

Soldiers Stomp between my eyes, Dragging their chains as they pass. They gallop into my land— Where my childhood once ran through the hills, Where red apples danced In rivers falling from the sky.	سربازان میان چشم‌هایم پا می‌کوبند از من می‌گذرند با زنجیر هایشان به سرزمینم می‌گازند با کودکی‌ام که در تپه‌هایش دوبنده است و رقص سبزه‌های سرخ در رودهایی از آسمان
I have come with the wind, with (my) mother's strange grave, And with a brother that I don't have, A coffin upon my shoulders	با باد آمده‌ام یا گور غریب مادر و با برادری که ندارم تکوفتی به دوش
You surrender your life within the mirrors of my arms, Many women have been lost in me, With black eyes And hair stained with blood.	میان آینه‌های آغوشم جان می‌سپاری در من زنان بسیاری گم شده‌اند با چشم‌های سیاه و موهایی آغشته خون
My body is a vast burial ground Of deer That left their bodies in the river.	مذقنیست بزرگ تنم از آهوئی که تن به رود سپرده‌اند

From a feminine perspective, the “I” encompasses the sufferings of other women who “have been lost in me.” This “I” bears the weight of a brother’s coffin on her shoulders. Her body transforms into a burial ground where deer—symbols of innocence and purity— are interred. But who is this “I”? She is a woman who perceives herself as a victim of war and its soldiers; a woman without a homeland, unaware of her mother’s resting place; a woman who, unable to bear life, finds her body has become a tomb that holds the waters of the dead. This poem is a bitter reminder of a catastrophic event, asserting that women do not embody the role of sacred mothers during wartime but instead bear the additional burden of sexual assault on their bodies.

In the poem “Bī āshiyānah” (The nest-less), the fountain fails to cleanse the woman’s body of its taint. The women depicted in these poems are helpless in their eternal suffering, surrendering to their fate. A note preceding the poem quotes a passage from the Gospel of Matthew: “Foxes have dens and birds of the sky have nests, but the Son of Man has nowhere to rest his head” (Matthew 8:20). Laylā Mahbūbī selects a verse that alludes to

⁴⁹Mahbūbī, *Bih zakhm'hā-yam surmah mī'kishad zanī*, 30–31.

⁵⁰Based on historical accounts, “15,000 beautiful Georgian women, girls, and boys were taken captive, and the army was permitted to use them in various forms of bondage.” See Mahmūd Mīrzā Qājār, *Tārīkh-i Sāhib Qirānī* (History of the Sāhib Qirānī), ed. Rizā Samarī Hājī-Āghā (Tehran: Safīr-i Ardahāl, 1396/2017), 106–07. However, recent studies consider these figures to be exaggerated, suggesting that such numbers were likely used to underscore the king's authority and power. For a detailed discussion, see Ghulām-Husayn Zargarī'nijād, *Bar-āmadan Qājār: Tārīkh-i Īrān az pāyān-i 'asr-i Safavī tā qatl-i Āqā Muhammad Khān Qājār* (The rise of the Qajars: History of Iran from the end of the Safavids to the assassination of Āqā Muhammad Khān Qājār) (Tehran: Nigāristān-i Andīshah, 1402/2023).

Christ's homelessness and wandering. However, the title does not use the terms “home” or “homelessness,” instead, it uses “the nest-less.” In doing so, Mahbūbī invokes the image of a bird or hen whose head must be severed, symbolically sacrificed offered as a sacrifice to the gods.⁴⁹

This poem refers to Tbilisi and Georgia, which was attacked (1795) during the reign of Aghā Muhammad Khān Qājār. However, the poem does not focus on recounting historical events. Instead, it evokes the memory of a woman in Tbilisi who was sexually assaulted by Qājār soldiers.⁵⁰ In parallel, the poem introduces another image: an animal nursing a gazelle. The suckling animal symbolizes motherhood, yet its own offspring has been beheaded, and it now cares for another whose mother has been torn apart. This image draws a comparison between the suffering of animals and women, with both lives marked by pain, loss, and brutality. Moreover, nature does not play a positive role here, as emphasized by the line, “Does not wash away in any spring's water.”

My bed smells of a woman's skirt,
From Tbilisi

بستر من بوی دامن زنی میدهد
از تفلیس

I have returned
From the aggression of Qājār soldiers,
To Georgia.

از تجاوز سربازان قاجار

به گرجستان
باز گشته‌ام؛

They have adorned me for the night tent

آراستمانند مرا برای چادر شب

I
My eyes do not comprehend purification,
And something on my skin,
Slimy;
Does not wash away in any spring's water.

من
چشماتم تطهیر نمی‌فهمند
و چیزی روی پوستم
لزوج؛
با آب هیچ چشمه‌ای فرو نمی‌ریزد

My child has been beheaded somewhere in the
world

کودکم را در جایی از جهان سر بریده‌اند

And my milky breasts
Are in the mouth of a gazelle,
In the heart of the mountain.
They have torn its mother

و پستان‌های پر شیر من
در دهان غزال است
میان کوه
که مادرش را دریده‌اند.

A Feminist Pastoral Perspective

By examining Laylā Mahbūbī's treatment of land, nature, and women, it becomes clear that nature symbolizes human nature



in her work. Mahbūbī uses natural elements to highlight how women, as both members of society and living beings, are incapable of resolving the gendered challenges imposed upon them by historical constructs. This marks a new form of expression in Persian poetry, where pastoral themes are used to critique societal issues. Although at first glance, these poems may not seem explicitly socially conscious, the intersection of social issues with pastoral poetry is not a novel concept in Western literature. Mahbūbī's distinct contribution lies in her focus on the negative and silent aspects of nature, which reflects how societal challenges are internalized. In her work, natural elements mirror the anti-feminine laws imposed by oppressive forces. In a society that continually seeks to reject feminine identity, a woman is left without a sense of belonging and selfhood. She cannot find solace in nature, nor can she use it as a refuge to shield her struggles. In these poems, Mahbūbī shows that nature and human nature are inseparable. These poems did not emerge in a vacuum; they reflect centuries of entrenched misogynistic thought that continues to shape society, casting a long shadow over these poems. We are drawn to these poems because of the deep resonance they have with the ongoing struggles of humanity, as the themes of oppression, loss, and displacement transcend time.

Conclusion

Laylā Mahbūbī's pastoral poetry does not adhere to the traditional, regressive pattern of retreating to nature or the village; rather, she emphasizes the inevitable fate of pastoral women who endure suffering in a historical situation. Mahbūbī advances pastoral poetry by offering a fresh perspective on natural concepts utilized in her work. She rejects both the Hellenistic approach, which seeks to elevate nature to a sacred status through nostalgic depictions, or the Romantic approach, which returns to natural life as a foundation for *Bildung* or personal development and self-cultivation. Instead, she focuses on the presence of women as "the other" and sexual objects, over-

⁵¹Terry Gifford, "Pastoral, Anti-Pastoral, and Post-Pastoral," in *The Cambridge Companion to Literature and the Environment*, ed. Louise Westling (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013), 17–30.

looked by natural elements.

Traditionally, pastoral poetry has served as a refuge, reflection, requiem, and reconstruction.⁵¹ However, deviating from conventional pastoral traditions, Mahbūbī employs pastoral elements allegorically, reinterpreting the concepts of land, nature, and femininity. Her work amplifies the plaintive voices of victimized women, rendering her poetry profoundly desperate, bitter, and dark. This bitterness, stemming from a separation from the motherland, is neither contrived nor merely technical. Instead, it is rooted in the lived experience (*das Erlebnis*) of a poet who not only witnesses the suffering of victimized women throughout history but also deeply identifies with their plight. Mahbūbī's experience as a diasporic woman and her study on the bitter events enable her to bear witness to the impending catastrophe pneumatically.

The women, though described as broken, will one day be emancipated by their femaleness. Since "many women have been lost in me," those women will eventually be found.

